DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE
Notice of a Special Meeting of the
FINANCE-AUDIT COMMITTEE and/or CITY COUNCIL
3:00 p.m. – Monday, May 16, 2016
Pasadena City Hall - Council Chambers Room S249

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a special meeting of the Finance Committee and/or City Council will be held **Monday, May 16, 2016** at **3:00 p.m.** in the Council Chambers Room S249, at City of Pasadena City Hall, 100 N. Garfield Ave., Pasadena, California.

A. CALL TO ORDER

B. PUBLIC COMMENT

C. NEW BUSINESS
1. Follow-up from the Recommended FY 2017 CIP Budget Hearing*

D. PUBLIC HEARING: Fiscal Year 2017 Recommended Operating Budget

Affiliated Agencies	
1. Pasadena Center Operating Company (PCOC)	
2. Pasadena Community Access Corporation (PCAC)	
3. Rose Bowl Operating Company (RBOC)	
City Departments	
1. Planning and Community Development	4. Successor Agency to the Pasadena Community Development Commission (PCDC)
2. Finance	5. Housing
3. City Manager	6. Public Health

E. ADJOURN SPECIAL MEETING

*Attachment

Mayor Terry Tornek, Chair
Finance Committee

This notice, in its entirety, was posted at City Hall and distributed as indicated below by 5:30 p.m., Thursday, May 12, 2016.

Lori Eubanks, Recording Secretary
Finance Committee

Distribution:
City Council
Star-News
City Clerk
Pasadena Journal
Los Angeles Times
City Attorney
La Opinion
Council Chambers Bulletin Board
City Manager
Pasadena Weekly
Main Library
City Hall Front Kiosk

MEMORANDUM - CITY OF PASADENA
Department of Public Works

DATE: May 12, 2016

TO: Joint Finance Committee/City Council

FROM: Ara Maloyan P.E., Director of Public Works

RE: Follow-up from the Recommended FY 2017 CIP Budget Hearing

On May 9, 2016 at the joint Finance Committee/City Council meeting, members of this body raised a number of questions and issues regarding the Recommended FY 2017 – 2021 Capital Improvement Program budget. Staff has prepared the list of follow-up responses to these inquires.

cc: Steve Mermell, Interim City Manager
Julie Gutierrez, Assistant City Manager
Matt Hawkesworth, Director of Finance

Attachment

Follow-up to the May 9, 2016 CIP Budget Public Hearing

1. What are possible funding options for the *Complete Streets Project – El Molino Avenue and Alpine Street Intersection Configuration* project?

As shown in the Recommended FY 2017 CIP budget, the *Complete Streets Project – El Molino Avenue and Alpine Street Intersection Configuration* project has a total estimated cost of $94,000. The following four options have been identified as potential funding sources for this project.

A. Modify the project scope of *Complete Streets Project – El Molino Avenue and Alpine Street Intersection Configuration* to provide for temporary, painted installations, rather than permanent. This will be consistent with installations in other parts of the City such as Bungalow Heaven. This reduced project scope will include: upgrades to any non-compliant ramps; removal of existing bulb-outs and pork chops; patch of anchor holes; slurry seal of the intersection; re-striping and installation of crosswalks; and installation of painted and striped bulb-outs on all corners of the intersection. The cost of this reduced scope of work totals $54,000 and could come from any of the sources listed on items B – D below.

B. Reduce the recommended FY 2017 General Fund appropriation of $1 million from the *Citywide Sidewalk Improvements* project by $94,000. This will result in a 10 percent reduction (10,000 fewer square feet), in the amount of sidewalk repairs planned for FY 2017.

C. Reduce the recommended FY 2017 Gas Tax appropriation of $1,287,500 from the *Resurfacing and Slurry Seal FY 2017/2018* project by $94,000. At the original funding level, three miles of resurfacing (with an approximate cost of $900,000) and eight miles of slurry sealing (with an approximate cost of $400,000) could be completed. The $94,000 reduction would result in slurry sealing approximately two fewer miles of the eight miles of slurry seal work scheduled for FY 2017.

D. Transfer $94,000 in Gas Tax funds from the remaining balance of the *Thermoplastic Pavement Marking – Pilot Program (75082)* project. This project, which has a remaining appropriation of $95,000, provides for the replacement of ten uncontrolled crosswalks with thermoplastic pavement markings at each of the intersections listed below. This option would result in a delay of the striping of those ten uncontrolled crosswalks.

- Lincoln Ave/Del Monte St
- Fair Oaks Ave/Bellevue Dr
- Lake Ave/Elizabeth St
- Lake Ave/Atchinson St
- Lake Ave/Granite Dr
- Orange Grove Blvd & Bradford St/Markham Pl
- Orange Grove Blvd/Lockehaven St
- Colorado Blvd/Northup Ave
- Foothill Blvd/Vista Ave
- Washington Blvd/Breesee

2. **Design of Robinson Park pool and pool building.**

   At the City Council's direction, the Department of Public Works could create a new, unfunded CIP project which will provide for the design of a replacement of the Robinson Park pool and renovating the pool building. This work will have an estimated cost of $300,000. All proposed CIP projects must go to the Planning Commission and be found to be consistent with the General Plan. After that they can be brought to the City Council for approval as part of an amendment to the CIP.

3. **What is the current projected timeline gap between the completion of the Desiderio Park and completion of the associated restroom? Explore options and potential funding sources for allocating funding for design of restroom.**

   Construction of the first phase of Desiderio Park is anticipated to be completed in the fourth quarter of FY 2017. The Department of Public Works estimates a timeline of approximately 2½ years for design and construction of the restroom. The timeline gap between the completion of Phase I of park construction and the completion of the restroom would be approximately 1½ years if design of the restroom commences in July 2016 and construction funds are identified prior to the bidding process. The Department of Public Works estimates the design cost at $200,000. There is sufficient Residential Impact Fee (RIF) Interest balance available to fund restroom design. Typically, RIF Interest is reserved to respond to unanticipated park capital needs that arise.

4. **Provide an explanation of the reasons Design Commission found the standard restroom option unfavorable.**

   The Department of Public Works will prepare a report on the Design Commission's findings and provide to the City Council at a later date.

5. **Provide a timeline of when Residential Impact Fee revenue might be received.**

   While it is not possible to project exactly when a developer will pull a building permit and pay applicable Residential Impact Fees, the Planning and Community Development and Public Works Departments will work together to develop a tracking method to estimate the amount and timing of Residential Impact Fee payments. This information will be provided in a future City Manager Newsletter.

6. **What is the approximate timeline for completing initial improvements to the indoor firing range?**

   The Public Works Department estimates the Police Department should be able to return to the indoor firing range in approximately six months. The procurement process will take

approximately three months to complete and abatement work will require an additional three months.

7. What impact would moving $100,000 to fund the Pilot Sidewalk Repair Partnership Program have on existing major sidewalk project?

Moving $100,000 from the *Citywide Sidewalk Improvements* project would reduce sidewalk repairs made through this project by approximately 10,000 square feet. Staff will require additional time to fully develop the Pilot Sidewalk Repair Partnership Program and will report back when further information is available. The amount of sidewalk repairs that property owners will initiate and complete under the Partnership Program is not known.

8. NPDES Litigation – what is the status of the Duarte/Gardena lawsuit?

Currently there are three lawsuits related to the County's 2012 MS4 stormwater permit #R4-2012-0175.

- *City of Gardena v. State Water Quality Control Board and Regional WQCB*, Orange County Superior Court case 30-2016-00833722-CU-WM-CJC. The City of Pasadena along with a number of other cities has been named as a Real Party in Interest;
- *City of Duarte v. Regional WQCB*, Orange County Superior Court case 30-2016-00833614-CU-WM-CJC; and
- *NRDC v. State Water Quality Control Board and Regional WQCB*, Los Angeles County Superior Court case BS156962.

Department of Public Works staff as well as the City Attorney's Office are closely following the MS4 issue and will report to City Council as appropriate. The current estimated capital cost for the City of Pasadena to comply with MS4 permit costs is approximately $250 million over a 20 year period.