

Molinar, Tess

From: City Web
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2020 3:27 PM
To: Reyes, David; Paige, Jennifer
Subject: Public Comment for Planning Commission meeting on May 27, 2020

Public Comment for Planning Commission Meeting on May 27, 2020

Commission, Committee or Legislative Body	Planning Commission
Meeting Date	May 27, 2020
Agenda Item Number	5A
Name	Jan Converse
City	Pasadena
State	CA
Zip Code	91106
Comments	<p>-What studies were conducted to determine the need for these projects?</p> <p>-Did the City study the amount of “induced demand” that should be anticipated by adding capacity to these corridors?</p> <p>-How were these projects elevated from the list of requests? Were they graded / scored by Metro?</p> <p>-The 710 freeway was supposed to facilitate north-south travel. Yet some of these projects are focused on moving vehicles east-west. What is the nexus between the two?</p> <p>-How are these projects consistent with the City’s adopted Climate Action Plan? Vehicle emissions are the #1 source of GHGs in Pasadena. Reducing vehicle trips and transportation are a lynchpin of the plan.</p> <p>-The Connecting Pasadena Project IS an eligible use for 710-N funds. The City of Alhambra received \$100 million in 710-N funding to repurpose their stub. Did the City submit a similar request? If not, why not?</p>

-Prior traffic plans have recommended closing the St. John offramp once the stub is relinquished. Why invest millions in changes to an off-ramp that could be removed in 5-10 years?

-The world has literally changed since these projects were recommended. How do these projects reflect new realities including a dramatic reduction in local resources, new mobility patterns, and record unemployment?

I consent to have my comment read out loud during the meeting.

Yes

IP Address

107.128.40.94

User-Agent (Browser/OS)

Apple Safari 10.1.2 / OS X

Referrer

<https://www.cityofpasadena.net/planning/public-comment>
