

Flores, Alejandra

From: Colin Bogart
Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2020 10:49 AM
To: Flores, Alejandra
Cc: Cornejo, Laura; PasadenaCSC; Hampton, Tyron; Bell, Cushon
Subject: TAC meeting June 25, 2020, Agenda item 3

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

A detailed accounting of the costs for closing the RoseBowl Loop to vehicular traffic has not yet been disclosed to the public and the time period for evaluating the closure was very short - roughly 5 days. Given that most DOT's typically evaluate changes to road configurations using much longer time frames of several months or more, the 5 day period applied for evaluating this project seemed egregiously short.

I ask that the cost details be released so that residents and the TAC can fully evaluate if the closure could have been done for much less money and also so we can consider future temporary closures without unnecessary or excessive expense. The public only knows that it was "too expensive," but we don't know exactly why and what were the line item expenses.

Thank you.

Colin Bogart

Pasadena, CA 91103

Flores, Alejandra

From: John Lloyd
Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2020 11:02 AM
To: Colin Bogart
Cc: Bell, Cushon; Cornejo, Laura; Flores, Alejandra; Hampton, Tyron; PasadenaCSC
Subject: Re: [PasCSC] TAC meeting June 25, 2020, Agenda item 3

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Thanks for this, Colin. I completely agree that the data from a 5-day pilot is not enough at all. They should assess and get input from users weekly, but not pull the plug so quickly. 60 days is not out of the question.

Why, oh why, does this city have such a hard time with basic administrative processes when it comes to making safe space for more people on a select few of our streets?

J.

On Wed, Jun 24, 2020 at 10:49 AM Colin Bogart _____ wrote:

A detailed accounting of the costs for closing the RoseBowl Loop to vehicular traffic has not yet been disclosed to the public and the time period for evaluating the closure was very short - roughly 5 days. Given that most DOT's typically evaluate changes to road configurations using much longer time frames of several months or more, the 5 day period applied for evaluating this project seemed egregiously short.

I ask that the cost details be released so that residents and the TAC can fully evaluate if the closure could have been done for much less money and also so we can consider future temporary closures without unnecessary or excessive expense. The public only knows that it was "too expensive," but we don't know exactly why and what were the line item expenses.

Thank you.

Colin Bogart

From: City Web
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2020 4:23 PM
To: Flores, Alejandra
Subject: Public Comment for Transportation Advisory Commission Meeting on June 25, 2020 on Agenda Item 3

Public Comment for Transportation Advisory Commission Meeting on June 25, 2020 about Agenda Item 3

Select one City legislative body for the comment Transportation Advisory Commission

Meeting Date June 25, 2020

Agenda Item 3

Name Blair Miller

Email

Address

City Pasadena

State CA

Zip Code 91104

Comments (under 200 words) Kudos to staff for their hard work in coordinating the City's response to the pandemic. Staff did an amazing job on a very short timeline.

Please consider the following suggestions for people to use the Rose Bowl loop for exercise, without incurring unsustainable expenses. Here are some suggestions:

One way traffic around the loop. This is a low-cost alternative which has been extensively studied by DOT.

Occasional closure, Sunday Streets style. Create predictable, regular closures to automobile traffic using staff and A-frames, but not the expensive barricades.

Slow down cars moving through the loop. Use temporary or permanent speed bumps, spaced or designed to allow bicycles and skaters to ride through, as they have in Altadena.

Please re-consider how to use the Rose Bowl loop for safe(r) family exercise.

I consent to have my comment read out loud during the meeting. Yes
