

## Takeda, Michi

---

**From:** Chua, Carol [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 22, 2022 3:08 PM  
**To:** Takeda, Michi  
**Cc:** Sissi, Edwar  
**Subject:** Fw: Langham Hotel New 2nd Pool Proposal, 1401 S Oak Knoll, Pasadena - FURTHER COMMENTS

**CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. [Learn more...](#)

Follow-up to to my previous email below -

If it is indeed so imperative to the Hotel's viability that they have to have a second pool, why can't this new pool be designated as an "adult Zen pool" area, and the current pool be assigned as the "family pool" destination?? Afterall, their Lanai guest building faces the exiting pool and houses guests who want to be pool adjacent and enjoy that lively proximity and environment. This could be a potential win-win solution for the Hotel and the surrounding residents, since it would help mitigate all the noise-of-screaming-kids concerns with the new pool. We neighbors are all fans of the Hotel and we do want it to succeed, but it should not at the expense of our lifestyle and property values. Thank you for your consideration, carol

**Carol Chua**  
[REDACTED]

---

[REDACTED]

Dear sir/madam

I live at 760 Huntington Circle, directly across from the Langham's proposed new pool project. For almost 30 years, residents on Huntington Circle have enjoyed the quiet serenity of being next to the Hotel's tennis courts and beautiful gardens. We have been gracious neighbors and supporters of the Hotel, and are proud to live where we do.

However, with this proposed change of demolishing two tennis courts to put in a heavy-usage pool resort, the residents on Huntington Circle are deeply concerned about the