

Tam, Hayman

From: William Marshall [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2022 11:59 AM
To: Sheppard, Natsue
Cc: Thyret, Pam
Subject: 83 S. Hill

CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. Learn more...<https://mydoit.cityofpasadena.net/sp?id=kb_article_view&sysparm_article=KB0010263>.

Natsue-

There have been a number of notices posted in my neighborhood about a proposed renovation at 83 S. Hill.

I have a bit of an issue with the notices in that there is actually no building having that address. The only address posted on that entire block is 101 S. Hill, which is on the building at the SW corner of Hill and Green. Immediately to the North, where one might look for 83 South, is the city Library (which is at 55 S. Hill).

I understand that there is probably a parcel that used to have the address 83 South that is now part of the larger property of St. Philips Church (which Pasadena Now says is the location of the renovation). But if you're formally notifying the public of the location of something, how can that be done using what appears to be a non-existent address?

Besides the issue with the notice, isn't there a general requirement that buildings have a (correct) street address posted on them? I personally have faced the situation more than once of trying to obtain City services (Public Works, Code Enforcement, and Police) on that block without be able to provide an adequate street address to identify the location.

I think your posted notices should provide better information as to what building is being proposed for renovation. If there is a general requirement for buildings to have posted addresses, then I would like to see that corrected as well.

Please let me know what can be done.

Sincerely,
William Marshall