

Jacqueline B Nuno-Trejo

2nd, November 2022

**Hearing Officer**

V#11945

384 N Euclid Ave.  
Pasadena, Ca. 91101

Dear Hearing Officer,

Although I am pleased that there is a new construction proposed I am opposed to the granting of so many variances. I believe that the variances are there for our safety and for better city planning. If we start allowing the rules to constantly be ignored then precedent will be set and those compliance requirements will no longer have any meaning. I understand that the property owner has a right to build on this property but I cannot imagine that they or anyone could have thought it reasonable for such a large structure (2,064 sqft) on a small oddly shaped lot. It is my belief that if they follow the current compliance requirements and deny these proposed variances and minor variances a residence will still be able to fit in this lot, a residence that is appropriate for this lot. The rules are clear and these variances are not required as a whole or in part in order to move ahead with building a residence, a home.

384 Euclid has been abandoned and in a way left for the neighbors to tend to. I am the immediate neighbor and it has fallen upon us to maintain this property that we have no ownership in. We have had to do quarterly maintenance on this property from 2005 up to a year ago when the city or someone started to clean it up. It has been very stressful because there have been a couple of small fires on this lot that could have cost us our homes. So of course I am happy that the property has been cleaned for the past several months. Because this is a vacant lot there is also dumping on it and on Maple. I hope that if they can re-work the plans to meet code that this new residence will deter all the dumping and will have a positive effect on our neighborhood.

Other issues are that this large 2 story structure will block most of the light that we currently have. This new structure will be out of residential character for this neighborhood. The parking on this street is very limited and with the added size of this proposed structure this is sure to bring us more congestion.

I hope that the City officials who are in the position of approving or denying this construction will think in our own safety and benefit, not only the existing residents but the future ones as well. If "compliance requirements" exist I have to believe that there is a strong reason and that these standards have to be upheld. Perhaps some older buildings do not meet them but we have to improve, learn from the past and build for a better tomorrow.

Sincerely,

  
Jacqueline B. Nuno-Trejo