

From: [Alan Loomis](#)
To: [commentsHQ](#); [Walker, Alison](#)
Subject: re: MV #11962: 630 N. PASADENA AVENUE
Date: Wednesday, May 15, 2024 9:05:54 AM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

[] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you *know* the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0010263" on the DoIT portal.

Ms. Walker -

Please convey the following to the Hearing Officer regarding case MV #11962 located at 630 N Pasadena Ave.

I am writing in support of the variance findings for the above case.

I live in the immediate neighborhood of this proposed project on Ridgewood Lane. As such I pass by this site by car and foot multiple times a day. Over the ten years I have lived in the neighborhood, this site has been well maintained and has never presented a public safety or nuisance problem. In fact, until the story poles went up for present proposal a few months ago, we had always assumed it was CalTrans property associated with the 210 Freeway. It was a surprise to discover this sliver of land was private property with some development rights. This tiny piece of land was clearly diminished by the takings associated with the freeway construction, creating precisely the unusual site conditions that warrant standards variances. It is unlikely that outside variances any improvements of this site will be possible. Even with variances, the reduced site footprint results in a relatively small house plan. While modest in size, the proposed house looks to be an excellent starter home for a young couple or family, which would be welcome in the neighborhood.

Again, as a resident of the immediate neighborhood of 630 N Pasadena Ave, I support the proposed variance findings for case MV #11962.

Thank you,
Alan

Alan A Loomis