Angel, Rosa

From: Chad Edwards
Sent: Sunday, April 19, 2026 2:19 PM
To: PublicComment-AutoResponse; Acevedo, Gloria
Subject: Public comment for Apr 20 City Council Meeting

[Some people who received this message don't often get email from Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

[⚠️] CAUTION: This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

I am proud that the city of Pasadena has committed to 100% carbon-free power generation by 2030. Now comes the important work of implementing this plan. I strongly urge PWP to prioritize and fund the two critical elements of the Optimized Strategic Plan: 20 MW of solar power on city-owned property and 75 MW of battery storage. These steps are crucial to achieving the city's stated objectives.

Respectfully,

-Chad Edwards

Sent from my iPhone

Angel, Rosa

From: Sam
Sent: Monday, April 20, 2026 9:01 AM
To: Gordo, Victor; Madison, Steve; Cole, Rick; Hampton, Tyron; Masuda, Gene; Rivas, Jessica; Jones, Justin; Lyon, Jason
Cc: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Support City-owned Solar and Storage - 4/20 Joint Finance / City Council meeting

Some people who received this message don't often get email from Learn why this is important

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Dear Pasadena City Council,

I am writing to insist that PWP prioritize and fund the two critical elements of the OSP: 20 MW (megawatts) of solar on city-owned property and 75 MW of battery storage.

Pasadena's leadership in climate and energy investment was demonstrated with the unanimous approval of Climate Emergency Resolution 9977, which mandated the transition to 100% carbon free electric power by 2030.

PWP is failing to support this transition in the draft CIP budget for 2026-2031 because it **fails allocate funds to 2 critical elements** of the OSP to transition to carbon free electric power by 2030. I urge you to reject PWP's CIP budget, and demand that city-owned solar and storage projects be funded.

I live in Pasadena (District 6), and in my career, I have worked in the solar and energy storage industry in manufacturing, engineering and material supply. With this background, I am familiar with similar projects being built in cities across the country. Pasadena is and should be a leader in municipal energy projects.

Energy costs will rise dramatically in the coming years. Investing in city owned, renewable solar generation and energy storage projects will not only support city-prioritize climate goals, they are prudent investments that will help manage energy costs for the city and its residents.

Thank you for your service and support.

Sincerely,
Sam Veague

Angel, Rosa

From: Audrey Ma
Sent: Sunday, April 19, 2026 3:58 PM
To: Gordo, Victor; Cole, Rick; Hampton, Tyron; Masuda, Gene; PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Please Fund the OSP Priorities in the 2026-2031 CIP Budget

Some people who received this message don't often get email from Learn why this is important

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Dear Mayor Gordo, Councilmember Cole, Councilmember Hampton, and Councilmember Matsuda,

My name is Audrey Ma, and I am a student at Polytechnic School. I am writing to urge you to ensure that PWP prioritizes and funds two critical elements of the OSP in the CIP: 20 MW of solar on city owned property and 75 MW of battery storage.

The City has already made its direction clear. The Council unanimously approved Resolution 9977, retained experts to model a pathway to carbon-free electricity, unanimously approved the OSP, and identified the 2030 carbon-free goal as a top budget priority. That commitment must now be reflected in actual funding decisions, not just rhetoric.

At last week's meeting, PWP suggested that these projects are still somehow a priority even though municipal solar was placed at the very bottom of its list and no funding was allocated for the Glenarm battery storage project. That is not reassuring. If these projects are essential to achieving a carbon-free electric system by 2030, they should be treated as essential in the budget.

It is also concerning to see basic maintenance, fleet purchases, and upgrades to infrastructure framed as though they are equivalent to implementing the clean energy transition. Much of that infrastructure may be necessary, but it is not the same as investing directly in solar and storage projects that will actually move Pasadena towards a carbon-free grid. With rates already rising, Pasadena cannot afford to delay the most important investments and then claim later that more rate hikes or borrowing are the only way to pay for them. If the City is serious about Resolution 9977, this budget must reflect that seriousness now.

As a young person, this matters deeply to me because I will have to live with the consequences of the decisions you make today. I want to be able to trust that when our city government makes a commitment as important as a carbon-free future, it will follow through. Young people are constantly told to believe in civic participation and public leadership. That trust is built when elected officials back promises with action.

Please insist that the CIP budget prioritize and fund municipal solar and battery storage. Pasadena's budget should reflect its values, its climate commitments, and its responsibility to the generation that will inherit the future it is shaping now.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Audrey Ma
Polytechnic School & Pasadena 100

Angel, Rosa

From: Diane Morgan
Sent: Sunday, April 19, 2026 7:47 PM
To: Gordo, Victor; Cole, Rick; Hampton, Tyron; Masuda, Gene
Cc: PublicComment-AutoResponse
Subject: Please reject the Draft Capital Improvement Budget - CIP 2026 - 2031

Some people who received this message don't often get email from . Learn why this is important

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Dear Councilmembers,

I am writing to urge you to reject the draft Capital Improvement Budget (CIP) for 2026-2031. It **fails to allocate funds to 2 critical elements** of the Optimized Strategic Plan (OSP) to transition to carbon free electric power by 2030.

I am extremely concerned that PWP is not prioritizing implementing the OSP when the City Council: 1.) unanimously approved Climate Emergency Resolution 9977 (which mandated the transition to 100% carbon free electric power); 2.) hired expensive experts to model the OSP pathway to success; 3.) approved the plan by unanimous vote; 4.) voted in December of 2025 that the carbon free by 2030 goal is one of top budget priorities.

Please insist that PWP prioritize and fund the two critical elements of the OSP: 20 MW (megawatts) of solar on city-owned property and 75 MW of battery storage.

Thank you,

Diane Morgan (District 1)

Angel, Rosa

From: Wesley Reutimann
Sent: Sunday, April 19, 2026 10:59 PM
To: Gordo, Victor; Cole, Rick; Hampton, Tyron; PublicComment-AutoResponse; Masuda, Gene; Lyon, Jason; Jones, Justin; Rivas, Jessica; Madison, Steve
Subject: ○⚡▬4/20 Public Comment - CIP - Funding for OSP Priorities: Solar + Storage

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Dear Mayor, Council, and staff,

As a Pasadena household that strongly supports the City's transition to cleaner, more resilient and sustainable energy, I am writing to ask you to **please fund the OSP's key priorities**:

1. **20 MW of local, municipal solar**
2. **75 MW of battery storage**

Investment in municipal solar and storage will pay dividends for decades. Benefits include but are not limited to:

- increasing the **resiliency of Pasadena's grid**,
- **preparing the City for future emergencies** such as natural disasters,
- **reducing peak load/demand** at a time when aggregate demand for electricity is on the rise after decades of stagnancy,
- **mitigating urban heat island impacts** (if solar canopies are placed over City asphalt parking lots or other heat sinks),
- **lowering the City's reliance on imported power and gas peaker plants**, and
- **saving the City money** by using battery storage to **arbitrage periods of cheap energy** (e.g., regional solar power midday) with peak demand periods (e.g., early evenings on hot days).

Pasadena investment in critical clean energy infrastructure could also be leveraged with PUSD's planned investments, potentially lowering costs for both parties. And committing funding to these projects in FY 2026-27 would provide an opportunity to bring local clean power online before the City hosts guests for the 2028 Summer Olympics, showcasing Pasadena's commitment to science and sustainability to the world.

Thank you for your leadership on this issue,

The Reutimann Family, Pasadena 91103

P.S. Below is an example of a recently installed solar generation system over a section of grass field at Alhambra High School. In addition to generating local clean power, the system is an example of a multi-benefit project insofar as it also provides students / users with much-needed shade. Examples of similar projects can also be found in Arcadia, San Gabriel, and La Crescenta.

Angel, Rosa

From: McMillan, Acquanette (Netta)
Sent: Monday, April 20, 2026 10:50 AM
To: Campos, Sandra; Angel, Rosa
Cc: Acevedo, Gloria; Robles, Sandra
Subject: FW: Today's joint meeting to review CIP budget

From: salukather
Sent: Monday, April 20, 2026 9:49 AM
To: Gordo, Victor <vgordo@cityofpasadena.net>
Cc: Cole, Rick <rcole@cityofpasadena.net>; Hampton, Tyron <thampton@cityofpasadena.net>; Masuda, Gene <gmasuda@cityofpasadena.net>
Subject: Today's joint meeting to review CIP budget

Some people who received this message don't often get email from Learn why this is important

[⚠] **CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you ***know*** the content is safe. Report phish using the Phish Alert Button. For more information about the Phish Alert Button view article "KB0011474" on the DoIT portal.

Dear Sirs;

I am writing to ask you to insist that PWP prioritize and fund the two critical elements of the OSP:

20 MW of solar on city-owned property

and

75 MW of battery storage

Thank you for your service,

Suzanne Lukather