

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	ACR-I
ES EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
ES.1 Document Purpose.....	ES-1
ES.2 Project Location.....	ES-1
ES.3 Background.....	ES-2
ES.4 Project Objectives.....	ES-2
ES.5 Project Description Summary.....	ES-3
ES.6 Areas of Known Controversy.....	ES-4
ES.7 Summary of Project Alternatives.....	ES-5
ES.7.1 Alternatives Considered and Eliminated.....	ES-5
ES.7.2 Alternatives Evaluated in this EIR.....	ES-5
ES.7.3 Environmentally Superior Alternative.....	ES-6
ES.8 Discretionary Actions.....	ES-6
ES.9 Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	ES-7
ES.10 References.....	ES-33
1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Purpose and Scope.....	1-1
1.2 CEQA Requirements.....	1-2
1.3 EIR Document Organization.....	1-7
1.4 References.....	1-8
2 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	2-1
2.1 Project Location.....	2-1
2.2 Project Background.....	2-2
2.2.1 Settlement Agreement.....	2-2
2.3 Environmental Setting Overview.....	2-3
2.3.1 Arroyo Seco Canyon.....	2-3
2.3.2 Area 2 Existing Conditions.....	2-4
2.3.3 Area 3 Existing Conditions.....	2-5
2.3.4 Gabrielino Trail, Bridges, and Staging Areas.....	2-6
2.3.5 Planning Context.....	2-8
2.4 Effects Found Not to be Significant.....	2-10
2.5 2015 ASCP Mitigated Negative Declaration Summary.....	2-13
2.6 References.....	2-25
3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION	3-1
3.1 Project Components.....	3-1
3.1.1 Area 2: Diversion and Intake Replacement.....	3-1

3.1.2	Area 3: Spreading Basin Improvements	3-4
3.1.3	Gabrielino Trail and Bridge Crossings	3-6
3.2	Project Purpose and Objectives.....	3-9
3.2.1	Increase Reliability of Local Water Supplies.....	3-9
3.2.2	Implementation of Components of Hahamongna Watershed Park Master Plan	3-10
3.2.3	Project Objectives.....	3-12
3.3	Project Construction.....	3-12
3.4	Discretionary Actions.....	3-14
3.5	Cumulative Impacts.....	3-15
3.5.1	Cumulative Approach	3-15
3.5.2	List of Cumulative Projects	3-16
3.6	References.....	3-19
4	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	4-1
4.1	Air Quality	4.1-1
4.1.1	Methodology	4.1-1
4.1.2	Existing Conditions	4.1-2
4.1.3	Relevant Plans, Policies, and Ordinances	4.1-14
4.1.4	Thresholds of Significance.....	4.1-19
4.1.5	Environmental Impacts Analysis	4.1-23
4.1.6	Cumulative Impacts.....	4.1-33
4.1.7	Mitigation Measures	4.1-33
4.1.8	Level of Significance After Mitigation.....	4.1-34
4.1.9	References.....	4.1-34
4.2	Biological Resources	4.2-1
4.2.1	Methodology	4.2-1
4.2.2	Existing Conditions	4.2-2
4.2.3	Relevant Plans, Policies, and Ordinances	4.2-15
4.2.4	Thresholds of Significance.....	4.2-19
4.2.5	Environmental Impacts Analysis	4.2-20
4.2.6	Cumulative Impacts.....	4.2-37
4.2.7	Mitigation Measures	4.2-39
4.2.8	Level of Significance After Mitigation.....	4.2-44
4.2.9	References.....	4.2-44
4.3	Cultural Resources	4.3-1
4.3.1	Methodology	4.3-1
4.3.2	Existing Conditions	4.3-1
4.3.3	Relevant Plans, Policies, and Ordinances	4.3-13
4.3.4	Thresholds of Significance.....	4.3-22
4.3.5	Environmental Impacts Analysis	4.3-22

4.3.6 Cumulative Impacts..... 4.3-37

4.3.7 Mitigation Measures 4.3-40

4.3.8 Level of Significance After Mitigation..... 4.3-42

4.3.9 References..... 4.3-42

4.4 Greenhouse Gas Emissions 4.4-1

4.4.1 Methodology 4.4-1

4.4.2 Existing Conditions 4.4-2

4.4.3 Relevant Plans, Policies, and Ordinances 4.4-9

4.4.4 Thresholds of Significance..... 4.4-18

4.4.5 Environmental Impacts Analysis 4.4-19

4.4.6 Cumulative Impacts..... 4.4-26

4.4.7 Mitigation Measures 4.4-26

4.4.8 Level of Significance After Mitigation..... 4.4-26

4.4.9 References..... 4.4-26

4.5 Hydrology and Water Quality..... 4.5-1

4.5.1 Methodology 4.5-1

4.5.2 Existing Conditions 4.5-1

4.5.3 Relevant Plans, Policies, and Ordinances 4.5-10

4.5.4 Thresholds of Significance..... 4.5-17

4.5.5 Environmental Impacts Analysis 4.5-18

4.5.6 Cumulative Impacts..... 4.5-31

4.5.7 Mitigation Measures 4.5-33

4.5.8 Level of Significance After Mitigation..... 4.5-33

4.5.9 References..... 4.5-33

4.6 Noise 4.6-1

4.6.1 Methodology 4.6-1

4.6.2 Existing Conditions 4.6-2

4.6.3 Relevant Plans, Policies, and Ordinances 4.6-4

4.6.4 Thresholds of Significance..... 4.6-8

4.6.5 Environmental Impacts Analysis 4.6-9

4.6.6 Cumulative Impacts..... 4.6-17

4.6.7 Mitigation Measures 4.6-20

4.6.8 Level of Significance After Mitigation..... 4.6-20

4.6.9 References..... 4.6-20

4.7 Recreation..... 4.7-1

4.7.1 Methodology 4.7-1

4.7.2 Existing Conditions 4.7-1

4.7.3 Relevant Plans, Policies, and Ordinances 4.7-7

4.7.4 Thresholds of Significance..... 4.7-11

4.7.5 Environmental Impacts Analysis 4.7-11

4.7.6	Cumulative Impacts.....	4.7-14
4.7.7	Mitigation Measures	4.7-15
4.7.8	Level of Significance After Mitigation.....	4.7-15
4.7.9	References.....	4.7-15
4.8	Transportation	4.8-1
4.8.1	Methodology	4.8-1
4.8.2	Existing Conditions	4.8-1
4.8.3	Relevant Plans, Policies, and Ordinances	4.8-3
4.8.4	Thresholds of Significance.....	4.8-4
4.8.5	Environmental Impacts Analysis	4.8-5
4.8.6	Cumulative Impacts.....	4.8-12
4.8.7	Mitigation Measures	4.8-20
4.8.8	Level of Significance After Mitigation.....	4.8-21
4.8.9	References.....	4.8-21
4.9	Tribal Cultural Resources.....	4.9-1
4.9.1	Methodology	4.9-1
4.9.2	Existing Conditions	4.9-1
4.9.3	Relevant Plans, Policies, and Ordinances	4.9-3
4.9.4	Thresholds of Significance.....	4.9-7
4.9.5	Environmental Impacts Analysis	4.9-8
4.9.6	Cumulative Impacts.....	4.9-10
4.9.7	Mitigation Measures	4.9-10
4.9.8	Level of Significance After Mitigation.....	4.9-11
4.9.9	References.....	4.9-11
5	MANDATORY CEQA TOPICS	5-1
5.1	Significant Unavoidable Environmental Effects	5-1
5.2	Significant Irreversible Changes.....	5-10
5.3	Growth-Inducing Impacts	5-12
5.4	References.....	5-13
6	ALTERNATIVES	6-1
6.1	Introduction.....	6-1
6.2	Project Overview and Objectives	6-1
6.3	Alternatives Considered But Not Carried Forward.....	6-2
6.3.1	Reduced Diversion with In-Stream Spreading.....	6-3
6.3.2	Consolidated Facility Below JPL Bridge.....	6-7
6.4	Alternatives to the Proposed Project.....	6-8
6.4.1	Alternative A – No Project/No Action	6-9
6.4.2	Alternative B – Redesigned Spreading Basins in Area 3.....	6-14
6.4.3	Alternative C – Historic Bridge Preservation.....	6-19

6.5 Summary of Alternatives to the Proposed Project.....6-25

6.6 Environmentally Superior Alternative.....6-27

6.7 References.....6-27

7 LIST OF PREPARERS7-1

APPENDICES

A-1 Notice of Preparation and Initial Study, October 2019

A-2 Initial Study Appendices, October 2019
 A: Judgment and Peremptory Writ of Mandate, the City’s Declaration in Support of the Judgment, the Statement of Decision on Petition for Writ of Mandate, and the Settlement Agreement
 B: Geotechnical Feasibility Study Report, Converse Consultants

A-3 NOP/Initial Study Comment Letters Received by the City

A-4 Final Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration, January 2015

B-1 Intake/Diversion Design (Area 2) Draft Basis of Design Report, March 2020

B-2 Arroyo Seco Canyon Project- 100% Submittal Plans for Area 3 Only, April 2017

C CalEEMod Data for Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions

D Biological Resources Technical Report for the Arroyo Seco Canyon Project Areas 2 and 3, June 2020

E-1 Cultural Resources Technical Report for the Arroyo Seco Canyon Project Areas 2 and 3, June 2020

E-2 Vertebrate Paleontology Records Check, prepared by the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, October 2020

F Hydraulics, Sediment Transport, and Groundwater Analysis, Arroyo Seco Canyon Project Diversion, August 2018

G Field Noise Measurement Data, December 2019; Construction Noise Modeling Input/Output, February 2020; and Traffic Noise Modeling Input/Output (during Construction), February 2020

H Transportation Technical Data and Analysis Worksheets, May 2020

I-1 Native American Heritage Commission Sacred Lands File Results for the Arroyo Seco Canyon Project Areas 2 and 3, October 2019

I-2 CONFIDENTIAL APPENDIX: AB 52 Communication Documentation

FIGURES

2-1 Local Vicinity and Regional Location.....2-27

2-2A Area 2 Existing Conditions2-29

2-2B Area 2 Existing Conditions Photographs.....2-31

2-3A Area 3 Existing Conditions2-33

2-3B Area 3 Existing Conditions Photographs2-35

2-4A Gabrielino Trail and Bridge Crossings Map.....2-37

2-4B Gabrielino Trail/Access Road Existing Conditions Photographs2-39

2-4C Staging Areas Existing Conditions Photographs.....2-41

3-1 Area 2 Conceptual Design Overview3-21

3-2 Area 3 Conceptual Design Overview 3-23

3-3 Area 3 Conceptual Design Overview 3-25

3-4A Area 3 Basin Grading Plan (Basins A, E, and F)..... 3-27

3-4B Area 3 Basin Grading Plan (Basins F, G, H, and I)..... 3-29

3-4C Area 3 Basin Grading Plan (Basins I and J) 3-31

3-5 Cumulative Projects 3-33

4.2-1 Sheet 1 – Vegetation Types 4.2-49

4.2-1 Sheet 2 – Vegetation Types 4.2-51

4.2-2 Sheet 1 – Potential Jurisdictional Waters..... 4.2-53

4.2-2 Sheet 2 – Potential Jurisdictional Waters..... 4.2-55

4.2-3 Sheet 1 – Protected Trees 4.2-57

4.2-3 Sheet 2 – Protected Trees 4.2-59

4.2-4 Sheet 1 – Impacts to Vegetation Types 4.2-61

4.2-4 Sheet 2 – Impacts to Vegetation Types 4.2-63

4.2-5 Sheet 1 – Impacts to Jurisdictional Resources 4.2-65

4.2-5 Sheet 2 – Impacts to Jurisdictional Resources 4.2-67

4.2-6 Sheet 1 – Impacts to Protected Trees 4.2-69

4.2-6 Sheet 2 – Impacts to Protected Trees 4.2-71

4.3-1 Records Search Study Area 4.3-47

4.5-1 United States Geological Survey Watersheds 4.5-35

4.5-2 Regional Water Quality Control Board Hydrologic Areas..... 4.5-37

4.5-3 Raymond Basin and Subareas 4.5-39

4.5-4 USGS Stream Gage Station Flow Data for 1989 through 2019 4.5-41

4.5-5 Estimated Project Contribution to Average Monthly Diversion Volume 4.5-43

4.6-1 Noise Measurement Locations..... 4.6-23

4.7-1 Recreational Areas and Proposed Boundary of the Rim of the Valley Corridor..... 4.7-17

4.7-2 Recreational Facilities in the Project Vicinity..... 4.7-19

4.7-3 Project Impacts to Designated Trails 4.7-21

4.7-4 Post-Project Recreational Trails..... 4.7-23

4.7-5 Cumulative Impacts to Designated Trails 4.7-25

4.8-1 Proposed Haul Route and Study Intersections..... 4.8-23

6-1 Area 3 Conceptual Design Overview 6-29

6-2A Alternative B, Redesigned Spreading Basins 6-31

6-2B Alternative B, Landscaping Palette 6-33

TABLES

ES-1 Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures ES-8

1-1 Notice of Preparation and Scoping Comment Letters Summary 1-3

2-1 2015 IS/MND Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program 2-13

3-1 Hahamongna Watershed Park Area 5A: Water Resource and Associated Improvements..... 3-11

3-2 Estimated Construction Schedule 3-13

3-3 Agency Approvals and Requirements..... 3-14

3-4 Geographic Scope and Method of Evaluation for Cumulative Impacts 3-15

4.1-1 South Coast Air Basin Attainment Classification 4.1-9

4.1-2 Ambient Air Quality Standards..... 4.1-10

4.1-3 Local Ambient Air Quality Data 4.1-13

4.1-4 South Coast Air Quality Management District Air Quality Significance Thresholds..... 4.1-20

4.1-5 Localized Significance Thresholds for Source Receptor Area 8
(West San Gabriel Valley)..... 4.1-22

4.1-6 Construction Scenario Assumptions 4.1-26

4.1-7 Estimated Maximum Daily Construction Criteria Air Pollutant Emissions 4.1-28

4.1-8 Localized Significance Thresholds Analysis for Project Construction 4.1-31

4.2-1 Vegetation Communities and Land Covers within the Study Area 4.2-3

4.2-2 Special-Status Wildlife Species Present or with Medium or High Potential to Occur
within the Study Area 4.2-8

4.2-3 Protocol/Focused Survey Special-Status Wildlife Species 4.2-10

4.2-4 Potential Jurisdictional Waters Delineated within the Study Area 4.2-12

4.2-5 City of Pasadena Protected Tree Replacement Matrix 4.2-19

4.2-5 Permanent and Temporary Direct Impacts to Sensitive Vegetation Communities 4.2-21

4.2-6 Permanent and Temporary Direct Impacts to Potential Jurisdictional Waters..... 4.2-29

4.2-7 Jurisdictional Waters Created by Project in Area 3 4.2-30

4.2-8 Area 2 - Protected Trees Direct Permanent Impacts..... 4.2-36

4.2-9 Area 3 - Protected Trees Direct Permanent Impacts..... 4.2-36

4.3-1 Previous Cultural Resources Investigations Within 0.5-Mile of the
Project Site..... 4.3-8

4.3-2 Summary of Resource Evaluation Findings 4.3-23

4.4-1 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Sources in California 4.4-5

4.4-2 Estimated Annual Construction GHG Emissions 4.4-19

4.4-3 Estimated Annual Construction GHG Emissions 4.4-20

4.5-1 Watershed Designations by Agency/Source..... 4.5-2

4.5-2 CWA Section 303(d) Impairments..... 4.5-3

4.5-3 Beneficial Uses for Surface and Coastal Waters..... 4.5-5

4.5-4 Typical Monthly Evaporation Rates 4.5-7

4.5-5 Percolation Results 4.5-8

4.5-6 State and Regional Water Quality-Related Permits and Approvals..... 4.5-15

4.6-1 Measured Noise Levels..... 4.6-3

4.6-2 Groundborne Vibration Damage Potential..... 4.6-4

4.6-3 Land Use Compatibility for Community Noise Environments 4.6-5

4.6-4 County of Los Angeles Construction Noise Limits 4.6-7

4.6-6 Construction Equipment Maximum Noise Emission Levels 4.6-9

4.6-7 Construction Noise Modeling Results 4.6-11

4.6-8 Construction Traffic Noise Modeling Results..... 4.6-15

4.7-1 Pasadena Recreational Facilities4.7-3

4.8-1 Area 2 - Construction Trip Generation.....4.8-6

4.8-2 Area 3 - Construction Trip Generation.....4.8-8

4.8-3 Queuing Analysis Summary for Existing Year 2019 and Cumulative
Year 2022 Conditions 4.8-14

4.8-4 Queuing Analysis Summary for Cumulative Year 2022 with
Project Conditions 4.8-18

4.9-1 Assembly Bill 52 Native American Tribal Outreach Results4.9-3

5-1 Initial Study Conclusion Summary..... 5-2

6-1 Comparison of Project and Alternatives Impacts 6-26