



Maricela E. Marroquin

T 213.626.8484
F 213.626.0078
E mmarroquin@rwglaw.com

355 South Grand Avenue
40th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3101
rwglaw.com

September 30, 2019

Martin Truitt
martin117a@gmail.com

Re: Public Records Act Request #11448

Dear Mr. Truitt:

As you are aware, the City of Pasadena (“City”) has retained our firm to respond to some Public Records Act requests. On July 8, 2019 you submitted a public records act request seeking 11 categories of information. As I have previously explained, this request alone has resulted in a voluminous number of documents that could be potentially responsive to your request. As I further explained to you when we spoke about this matter over the phone on August 20, 2019, the City has spent substantial time and resources gathering documents responsive to your request, and significant attorney time has been spent and will be spent reviewing the potentially responsive documents. You requested that the City prioritize providing you with the HdL documents from PRA 11586 and PRA 11448. Those documents have now been produced to you. You requested that after the HdL documents are produced, that the City prioritize producing all emails to and from Richard McDonald (category 8) and all emails to and from Guille Nunez (category 4) from November 1, 2018 through the date of production. You refused to narrow the scope of these requests so that they only pertained to cannabis related matters which is part of the reason that the volume of documents that must be reviewed before they can be disclosed to you is so large.

On September 27, 2019, I sent you a letter stating that the City anticipated producing documents responsive to category 8 on or about October 4, 2019, with a subsequent production (if necessary) on or about October 11, 2019. You stated that this was unacceptable and you needed these emails for the CUP hearing on October 9, 2019. Enclosed here: <https://app.box.com/s/76wuqy717fp41xkldz1tru23ygvvcxui> are additional records responsive to category 8. Please note that this link expires on October 15, 2019. The City is working diligently to provide you with the remainder of category 8 before October 9, 2019.

The Public Records Act permits a local agency to withhold from disclosure records that are exempt from disclosure, or portions of such records that are covered by an exemption. (Cal.

Gov. Code § 6250 et seq.) The City has withheld records from disclosure, in whole or in part, pursuant to one or a combination of the exemptions listed below:

- A. Personnel, medical, or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code § 6254(c), as well as other similar information protected by the privacy provisions of Article I, Section 1 of the California Constitution. (Cal. Gov. Code § 6254(k)).
- B. Copyrighted material, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited from disclosure pursuant to federal or state law. (Cal. Gov. Code § 6254(k)).¹

Thank you.

Very truly yours,



Maricela E. Marroquin

11131-0081\2342309v1.doc

¹ Although the City cannot reproduce copyrighted material without the consent of the author, any copyrighted material will be made available for you to inspect in person at City hall. Please contact Jennifer Paige, Deputy Director of Planning and Community Development at (626) 744-7231 if you want to make arrangements to inspect any copyrighted material.