

## Nunez, Guille

---

**From:** Martin Truitt <martin117a@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 20, 2019 8:10 PM  
**To:** Reyes, David; Paige, Jennifer; Maricela E. Marroquin  
**Subject:** Public Records Act Request

**CAUTION:** This email was delivered from the Internet. Do not click links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

On August 7 I sent you an email which concluded as follows:

"Finally, the cannabis map promulgated by your department specifically showed that the parcel at 908 E Colorado was not qualified. Since by accepting this application you now apparently believe it is qualified I have the following questions:

- 1) When did you or any member of your staff learn that the cannabis map contained this presumed error?
- 2) How did you come to learn that the map contained this presumed error?
- 3) Why have you not acted to correct the cannabis map you are promulgating on the city website since you now presumably believe it is defective?"

Since July 8 (43 days ago) I have been seeking all materials relating to the production, presentation and use of the City's draft cannabis map. Your department has produced nothing.

Your department has refused to provide the material I requested on July 8 and you have refused to answer my questions above from August 7.

I note for the record that Richard McDonald's questions to you and your staff receive immediate answers.

The purpose of my public records act request is to examine your staff's activities as they relate to the development and publication of the cannabis map.

Under California law, the City is required to assist me in making focused and effective public record act requests (Gov. Code Section 6253.1; Community Youth Athletic Center v. City of National City (2013) 220 Cal. App.4th 1385, 1417) .

Please answer my questions so that I may make focused and effective public record act requests regarding this matter.